

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,366 02/26/2004		Su-Chen Chen	12456-US-PA	2365	
31561	31561 7590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
•	7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI, 100			1772		
TAIWAN				DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

 Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/708,366	CHEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
 Alexander Thomas	1772

		Alexander Thomas	1772	
	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE F	EPLY FILED 05 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. 🔯 1 t F	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or his application, applicant must timely file one of the followance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant ime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) [b) [The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ig date of the final rejecti	on.
_	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
nave be under 3 set forti may ree	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exposer of the 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the n in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) SE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. 🔲 1 f 6			o avoid dismissal of th	
(The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) Note They raise new issues that would require further comb) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
	c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amondme	ent concoling the
r	on-allowable claim(s).			_
} ((For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected by the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,11-14,16-18 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
t	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
E	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to choosing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attact	ned.
" <u>-</u> \	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	My S Mause	r
		AI FXA	NDER S. THOMAS	
			ARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments to claims 1 and 12 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.